

AUG 14 2006

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ROBERT H. SHEPHERD, CLERK  
BY PC DEPUTY

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

SHREVEPORT DIVISION

ANTHONY LONDO

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1606-P

VERSUS

JUDGE HICKS

LT. SANDERS, ET AL.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION<sup>1</sup>

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff Anthony Londo ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on September 8, 2005. Plaintiff is incarcerated at the David Wade Correctional Center in Homer, Louisiana, and he complains his civil rights were violated by prison officials. He names Lt. Sanders, John Toffton, Lt. Malcolm, Sgt. Davis, Lt. Wright, Sgt. Malone and Ray Hanson as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on May 10, 2006 to file, within 30 days of the service of the order, a copy of all steps taken in the Administrative Remedy Procedure and responses to said ARPs and a restated and amended complaint. To date, Plaintiff has not done so.

---

<sup>1</sup>This decision is not intended for commercial print or electronic publication.

Accordingly;

**IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

### **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED**, in chambers, at Shreveport, Louisiana, on this

14<sup>th</sup> day of August 2006.

MSJ

**MARK L. HORNSBY**  
**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

H:\PRO SE\RULE41\Londo.1983.amd.proof.8-06.wpd